

# MODELING SENSORIMOTOR HABITS WITH NEURO-ROBOTICS

## A Reappraisal Of The Habit Concept In Psychology

Xabier E. Barandiaran\* & Ezequiel Di Paolo<sup>†‡#</sup>

\* Information, Autonomy and Systems Research Group,  
Dept. of Logic and Philosophy of Science, UPV-EHU, Spain  
† Ikerbasque, Basque Science Foundation,  
‡ Center for Computational Neuroscience and Robotics,  
Dept. of Informatics, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK.

eSMCs  
FP7 Project  
http://esmc.eu

Universidad del País Vasco  
Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea  
The University of the Basque Country

ias-research  
Information and Autonomous Systems  
http://ehu.es/ias-research


CCNR  
Centre for Computational  
Neuroscience and Robotics

US  
University of Sussex  
Informatics

### ABSTRACT

Recent trends in cognitive science have seriously undermined the notion of representation (symbolic or sub-symbolic) as a building block for theory construction and modeling in cognitive science (Stewart et al. 2011). The current lack of a clear theoretical building block for dynamical, embodied and situated cognitive approaches calls for a re-appraisal of the notion of habit as developed by early pragmatists (James, Pierce and Dewey) and continental psychologists and philosophers (Köhler, Goldstein, Merleau-Ponty). Whereas contemporary computational neuroscience and machine learning approaches (Daw et al. 2005) still sustain a behaviorist (S-R probabilistic association) conception of habit, we propose a richer notion by modeling habits as self-sustaining behavioural neurodynamic patterns where activity-dependent plasticity shows an extended temporal structure. We illustrate this point with some work on evolutionary robotics, implementing a combination of Hebbian and homeostatic plasticity (as recently supported by different neurobiological studies—Turrigiano 2000, 2007). In our robotic models, this mechanism is capable to generate sensorimotor development, reinforcement learning, spontaneous habit formation and re-habituation to sensorimotor disruptions (Di Paolo 2000, Barandiaran and Di Paolo 2010). We conclude that a richer notion of habit can significantly contribute to the foundations of cognitive science, opening up the possibility to model poorly understood psychological phenomena.

### Truncated History of the Notion of Habit



Xabier E. Barandiaran holds a Postdoc with the FEYT foundation (funded by Programa Nacional de Movilidad de Recursos Humanos del MEC-MICINN, Plan I+D+I 2008-2011, Spain) during the development of this work and now holds postdoctoral funding by FP7 project eSMC (EU 7th Framework through ICT: Cognitive Systems and Robotics). XEB also acknowledges funding from "Subvención General a Grupos de Investigación del sistema universitario vasco. Grupo Filosofía de la Biología" from Gobierno Vasco IT 505-10

"the formation of habits (...) concerns the problem of intelligence in the highest degree. (...) in so far as the organising assimilatory process, which eventually arrives at the operations peculiar to intelligence, appears from the outset in perceptual activity and in the formation of habits" J. PIAGET

"Concrete habits do all the perceiving, recognizing, imagining, recalling, judging, conceiving, and reasoning (...). 'Consciousness' expresses functions of habits, phenomena of their formation, operation, their interruption and reorganization" J. DEWEY

"When we look at living creatures from an outward point of view, one of the first things that strike us is that they are bundles of habits" W. JAMES

### The notion of habit in contemporary cognitive psychology and neuroscience

Under the influence of behaviourism the notion of habit was reduced to its scientific formulation as a *probability correlation between stimulus and response*

In contemporary computational neuroscience and machine learning habits are conceived as *reactive behaviour or probabilistic associations between stimulus categories* (or measure of states in a problem domain) *and action patterns* (representing a set of motor commands that might alter the functional space of the problem environment).


### Evolutionary robotics and re-habituation to visual inversion: a Piagetian interpretation

Di Paolo, E. A. (2000). Homeostatic Adaptation to Inversion of the Visual Field and Other Sensorimotor Disruptions. In J. Meyer, A. et. al (Eds.), *From animals to animats 6*. MIT Press.


Re-habituation to visual inversion is a well documented psychological phenomenon (Kohler 1964). Whereas it would take 1bit operation to invert the visual field for a computational device it takes weeks to adapt to this condition, and free behaviour is required to achieve it. Di Paolo implemented homeostatic plasticity in a robot artificially evolved to do phototaxis and maintain synaptic stability. After optimization the robot's vision was inverted, leading to synaptic instability as a result, until a new synaptic configuration was capable to enact phototactic sensorimotor contingencies and stability was regained. See model, controllers and explanation below.



"habits exist in all areas of human personality" (p. 137) and that only when we undergo a strong process of rehabilitation "do we notice what habit is, and to what extent we consist of many and strong habits" (p. 138) Köhler, I. (1964). *Formation and Transformation of the Perceptual World*.



Piagetian interpretation: the agent assimilates the light (A), visual inversion takes place and the agent accommodates (B), when reaching equilibrium it assimilates the light again (C).



The controller of the robot is a totally connected Continuous Time Recurrent Neural Network with homeostatic Hebbian plasticity: plastic changes are activated when the firing rate goes out of upper and lower bounds, increasing the strength of incoming connections when activity is low and viceversa.


$$\tau \dot{y}_i = -y_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (w_{ij} z_j) + g_i \sum_{k=1}^m s_k I_k$$

where  $y_i$  is the state of each neuron,  $\tau$  is the time constant,  $w_{ij}$  is the connection weight between neuron  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $z_j$  is the activation of neuron  $j$ ,  $g_i$  is  $\gamma$ 's state and  $b_i$  is bias term;  $g_i$  is a gain (-5,5) applied to the overall sensory input to the neuron,  $s_k$  (-5,5) is the input weight from sensor  $k$  to neuron  $i$  and  $I_k$  is the input value of sensor  $k$ .

Presynaptic Hebbian:  $\Delta w_{ij} = \eta_{ij} p_j (z_i - \mu_{ij}) z_j$ , where  $p_j$  is the degree of plastic local facilitation explained below,  $\mu_{ij}$  depends linearly on the value of  $w_{ij}$  so that  $\mu_{ij} = 0$  if  $w_{ij} = \max$  and  $\mu_{ij} = 1$  if  $w_{ij} = \min$ ,  $\eta_{ij}$  is the genetically specified learning rate


### What is a Habit?

A sensorimotor neurodynamic perspective




- **HABIT = plastic and self-sustaining pattern of behaviour generated by emergent neurodynamic structures.**
- The stability of a habitual behaviour is coupled with the stability of the neurodynamic pattern that generates it..
- Its plasticity allows for processes of assimilation and accommodation, together with the increasing structuration.

### Operant Conditioning with homeostatic plasticity



### Spontaneous habit formation

When both food sources are profitable the agent develops a "preference" to choose the food on its right (that this behaviour is not an innate preference can be shown on early trials not biased systematically towards the right)



Under different conditions, when no optimality criteria applies in terms of profitability, the history of interactions leads to the formation of particular preferences or habits on the agent, the exact nature of such patterns and their robustness needs to be tested and explored in more detail. Our working hypothesis is that recurrent interactions stabilize a neural configuration that sustains the interactions that generated it.

### CONCLUSION

The history of the habit notion was truncated by the epistemological constraints of early behaviourism and the latter cognitivist turn. Current dynamical modeling techniques in robotics (implementing recent findings in homeostatic and activity-dependent plasticity in the brain) allow to recover a richer notion of habits: plastic sensorimotor contingencies generated by and inducing stability into neurodynamic structures. We have shown that phenomena of re-habituation, operant conditioning and spontaneous habit formation can be modelled within this framework. The way is open for more complex cognitive tasks that build, from the bottom-up, increasingly organized networks of habits through a process of equilibration between assimilation and accommodation of sensorimotor patterns.